1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 BAYLEY CONSTRUCTION, CASE NO. C13-0114JLR Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING MOTION IN 11 LIMINE 12 v. 13 **GREAT AMERICAN E&S** INSURANCE COMPANY, 14 Defendant. 15 16 Before the court is Defendant Great American E&S Insurance Company's ("Great American") motion in limine to prohibit Plaintiff Bayley Construction ("Bayley") "from 17 18 presenting expert testimony due to [Bayley's] failure to disclose experts by the August 19 22, 2013[,] deadline set forth by the [c]ourt." (Mot. (Dkt. # 28) at 1.) Having reviewed 20 the motion, all submissions filed regarding the motion, the balance of the record, and the 21 applicable law, and considering itself fully advised, the court GRANTS Great American's motion.

1 The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide that: 2 If a party fails to provide information or identify a witness as required by Rule 26(a) or (e), the party is not allowed to use that information or witness to supply evidence on a motion, at a hearing, or at a trial, unless the failure 3 was substantially justified or is harmless. 4 Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1); see also Goodman v. Staples The Office Superstore, LLC, 644 5 F.3d 817, 826 (9th Cir. 2011). The court set the disclosure deadline for experts at August 6 22, 2013, per Rule 26(a)(2). (See 4/10/13 Order (Dkt. # 11) at 1.) Bayley did not 7 disclose any experts by this deadline. (See Mot. at 2.; Hampton Decl. (Dkt. # 29) ¶ 3.) In 8 its communications with Great American, however, "Bayley's counsel is noncommittal about Bayley's intentions regarding expert testimony." (Mot. at 2; see also Hampton 10 Decl. ¶ 5.) Bayley did not respond to this motion. Because Bayley failed to disclose any 11 experts by the court's disclosure deadline and has not attempted to provide any 12 justification for doing so, Rule 37(c)(1) prohibits Bayley from relying on experts in future 13 proceedings in this case. Thus, the court GRANTS Great American's motion in limine 14 (Dkt. #28). If Bayley later wishes to rely on experts, it must request leave from the court 15 through its own motion showing that its failure to disclose was substantially justified or 16 harmless under Rule 37(c)(1). 17 18 19 20 21 <sup>1</sup> See Local Rules W.D. Wash. CR 7(b)(2) ("If a party fails to file papers in opposition to 22 a motion, such failure may be considered . . . an admission that the motion has merit.").

1	Dated this 22nd day of November, 2013.
2	Jun R. Rlut
3	<del>-  </del>
4	JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	